

Literatur

- Ackerman, P. L., Beier, M. E., & Bowen, K. R. (2002). What we really know about our abilities and our knowledge. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33, 587–605. doi:10.1016/s0191-8869(01)00174-x
- Behrman, B. W., & Davey, S. L. (2001). Eyewitness identification in actual criminal cases: An archival analysis. *Law and Human Behavior*, 25, 475–491. doi:10.1023/a:1012840831846
- Behrman, B. W., & Richards, R. E. (2005). Suspect/foil identification in actual crimes and in the laboratory: A reality monitoring analysis. *Law and Human Behavior*, 29, 279–301. doi:10.1007/s10979-005-3617-y
- Bothwell, R. K., Deffenbacher, K. A., & Brigham, J. C. (1987). Correlation of eyewitness accuracy and confidence: Optimality hypothesis revisited. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72, 691–695. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.72.4.691
- Brewer, N. (2006). Uses and abuses of eyewitness identification confidence. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 11, 3–23. doi:10.1348/13552505x79672
- Brewer, N., Keast, A., & Rishworth, A. (2002). The confidence-accuracy relationship in eyewitness identification: The effects of reflection and disconfirmation on correlation and calibration. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 8, 44–56. doi:10.1037/1076-898x.8.1.44
- Brewer, N., & Palmer, M. A. (2010). Eyewitness identification tests. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 15, 77–96. doi:10.1348/135552509x414765
- Brewer, N., & Weber, N. (2008). Eyewitness confidence and latency: Indices of memory processes not just markers of accuracy. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 827–840. doi:10.1002/acp.1486
- Brewer, N., & Wells, G. L. (2006). The confidence-accuracy relationship in eyewitness identification: Effects of lineup instructions, foil similarity, and target-absent base rates. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 12, 11–30. doi:10.1037/1076-898x.12.1.11.
- Burhoff, D. (2012). *Handbuch für das strafrechtliche Ermittlungsverfahren*. 6. Auflage. Bonn: ZAP Verlag.
- Clark, S. E., & Wells, G. L. (2008). On the diagnosticity of multiple-witness identifications. *Law and Human Behavior*, 32, 406–422. doi:10.1007/s10979-007-9115-7
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Dodson, C. S., & Dobolyi, D. G. (2016). Confidence and Eyewitness Identifications: The Cross Race Effect, Decision Time and Accuracy. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 30, 113–125. doi:10.1002/acp.3178
- Douglass, A. B., & Steblay, N. (2006). Memory distortion in eyewitnesses: A meta analysis of the post identification feedback effect. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 20, 859–869. doi:10.1002/acp.1237
- Eisenberg, U. (2015). *Beweisrecht der StPO*. 9. Auflage. München: CH Beck.
- Fitzgerald, R. J., Price, H. L., Oriet, C., & Charman, S. D. (2013). The effect of suspect-filler similarity on eyewitness identification decisions: A meta-analysis. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 19, 151–164. doi:10.1037/a0030618
- Fischhoff, B. (2007). An early history of hindsight research. *Social Cognition*, 25, 10–13. doi:10.1521/soco.2007.25.1.10.
- Fischhoff, B., & Beyth, R. (1975). I knew it would happen: Remembered probabilities of once-future things. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 13, 1–16. doi:10.1016/0030-5073(75)90002-1
- Fischhoff, B., Slovic, P., & Lichtenstein, S. (1977). Knowing with certainty: The appropriateness of extreme confidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 3, 552–564. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.3.4.552
- Juslin, P., Olsson, N., & Winman, A. (1996). Calibration and diagnosticity of confidence in eyewitness identification: Comments on what can be inferred from the low confidence-accuracy correlation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 1304–1316. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.22.5.1304
- Kassin, S. M., Ellsworth, P. C., & Smith, V. L. (1989). The „general acceptance“ of psychological research on eyewitness testimony: A survey of the experts. *American Psychologist*, 44, 1089–1098. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.44.8.1089
- Kassin, S. M., Tubb, V. A., Hosch, H. M., & Memon, A. (2001). On the „general acceptance“ of eyewitness testimony research: A new survey of the experts. *American Psychologist*, 56, 405–416. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.56.5.405
- Keast, A., Brewer, N., & Wells, G. L. (2007). Children’s metacognitive judgments in an eyewitness identification task. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 97, 286–314. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2007.01.007
- Koriat, A. (1997). Monitoring one’s own knowledge during study: A cue-utilization approach to judgments of learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 126, 349–370. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.126.4.349
- Lindsay, R. C. L., Kalmet, N., Leung, J., Bertrand, M. I., Sauer, J. D., & Sauerland, M. (2013). Confidence and accuracy of lineup selections and rejections: Postdicting rejection accuracy with confidence. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 2, 179–184. doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.06.002
- Lindsay, D. S., Nilsen, E., & Read, J. D. (2000). Witnessing-condition heterogeneity and witnesses, versus investigators’ confidence in the accuracy of witnesses’ identification decisions. *Law and Human Behavior*, 24, 685–697. doi:10.1023/a:1005504320565
- Lindsay, D. S., Read, J. D., & Sharma, K. (1998). Accuracy and confidence in person identification: The relationship is strong when witnessing conditions vary widely. *Psychological Science*, 9, 215–218. doi:10.1111/1467-9280.00041
- Mickes, L. (2015). Receiver operating characteristic analysis and confidence-accuracy characteristic analysis in investigations of system variables and estimator variables that affect eyewitness memory. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 4, 93–102. doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2015.01.003
- Odinot, G., Boon, R., & Wolters, L. (2015). Het episodisch geheugen en getuigenverhoor [Das episodische Gedächtnis und die Zeugenvernehmung]. *Tijdschrift voor Criminologie*, 57, 279–299. doi:10.5553/tvc/0165182x2015057003001
- Olsson, N. (2000). A comparison of correlation, calibration, and diagnosticity as measures of the confidence-accuracy relationship in witness identification. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 504–511. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.85.4.504
- Palmer, M. A., Brewer, N., Weber, N., & Nagesh, A. (2013). The confidence-accuracy relationship for eyewitness identification decisions: Effects of exposure duration, retention interval, and divided attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 19, 55–71. doi:10.1037/a0031602
- Sauer, J. D., Brewer, N., Zweck, T., & Weber, N. (2010). The effect of retention interval on the confidence-accuracy relationship for eyewitness identification. *Law and Human Behavior*, 34, 337–347. doi:10.1007/s10979-009-9192-x
- Sauer, J. D., & Brewer, N. (2015). Confidence and accuracy of eyewitness identification. In T. Valentine & J. Davis (Hrsg.), *Forensic facial identification: Theory and practice of identification from eyewitnesses, composites and CCTV* (S. 185–208). West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Sauerland, M., & Krix, A. C. (2011). Vorbereitung und Durchführung von Gegenüberstellungen und Lichtbildvorlagen vor dem Hintergrund einer positiven Rechtspsychologie. *Praxis der Rechtspsychologie*, 21, 120–133.
- Sauerland, M., Krix, A. C., & Merckelbach, H. (2016). Konstruktion, Durchführung und Beurteilung von Gegenüberstellungen sind mehr als gesunder Menschenverstand. *Recht & Psychiatrie*, 34, 11–17.
- Sauerland, M., Raymaekers, L. H. C., Otgaard, H., Memon, A., Waltjen, T. T., Nivo, M., Slegers, C., Broers, N. J., & Smeets, T. (2016). Stress, stress-induced cortisol responses, and eyewitness identification performance. *Behavioral Science & the Law*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1002/bls.2249
- Sauerland, M., Sagana, A., & Sporer, S. L. (2012). Assessing nonchoosers’ eyewitness identification accuracy from photographic showups by using confidence and response times. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36, 394–403. doi:10.1037/h0093926
- Sauerland, M., & Sporer, S. L. (2009). Fast and confident: Postdicting eyewitness identification accuracy in a field study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 15, 46–62. doi:10.1037/a0014560
- Sporer, S. L. (1992). Post dicting eyewitness accuracy: Confidence, decision times and person descriptions of choosers and non choosers. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 22, 157–180. doi:10.1002/ejsp.2420220205
- Sporer, S. L., Penrod, S., Read, D., & Cutler, B. (1995). Choosing, confidence, and accuracy: A meta-analysis of the confidence-accuracy relation in eyewitness identification studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 118, 315–327. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.118.3.315
- Sporer, S. L., & Sauerland, M. (2008). Personendiftizierung. *Forensische Psychiatrie, Psychologie, Kriminologie*, 2, 28–36. doi:10.1007/s11757-008-0057-9
- Steblay, N. M. (1997). Social influence in eyewitness recall: A meta-analytic review of lineup instruction effects. *Law and Human Behavior*, 21, doi:283–297. doi:10.1023/a:1024890732059
- Thompson-Cannino, J., Cotton, R., & Torneo, E. (2009). *Picking Cotton: Our memoir of injustice and redemption*. New York: St. Martin’s Press.
- Tollestrup, P. A., Turtle, J. W., Yuille, J. C. (1994). Actual victims and witnesses to robbery and fraud: An archival analysis. In D. F. Ross, J. D. Read & M. P. Toglia (Hrsg.), *Adult eyewitness testimony: Current trends and developments* (S. 144–160). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Tredoux, C. (1999). Statistical considerations when determining measures of lineup size and lineup

- bias. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 13, 9–26. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-0720(199911)13:1+< S9::AID-ACP634>3.0.CO;2-1
- Van Oorsouw, K., Sauerland, M., & Broers, N.J. (2016). [Alcohol intoxication impairs eyewitness identification performance]. Unpublished raw data.
- Van Zandt, T. (2000). ROC curves and confidence judgments in recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 26, 582–600. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.26.3.582
- Vidmar, N., Coleman Jr., J.E., & Newman, T.A. (2010). Rethinking reliance on eyewitness confidence. *Judicature*, 94, 16–19. Abgerufen unter http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/judica94&div=12&g_sent=1&collection=journals
- Weber, N., & Brewer, N. (2003). The effect of judgment type and confidence scale on confidence-accuracy calibration in face recognition. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 490–499. doi:10.1037/0021 – 9010.88.3.490
- Weber, N., & Brewer, N. (2004). Confidence-accuracy calibration in absolute and relative face recognition judgments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 10, 156–172. doi:10.1037/1076-898x.10.3.156
- Weber, N., & Brewer, N. (2006). Positive versus negative face recognition decisions: Confidence, accuracy, and response latency. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 20, 17–31. doi:10.1002/acp.1166
- Wells, G. L., & Bradfield, A. L. (1998). „Good, you identified the suspect“: Feedback to eyewitnesses distorts their reports of the witnessing experience. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 360–376. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.83.3.360
- Wells, G. L., & Olson, E. A. (2002). Eyewitness identification: Information gain from incriminating and exonerating behaviors. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 8, 155–167. doi:10.1037/1076-898x.8.3.155
- Wells, G. L., & Lindsay, R. C. (1980). On estimating the diagnosticity of eyewitness nonidentifications. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88, 776–784. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.88.3.776
- Wells, G. L., Small, M., Penrod, S., Malpass, R. S., Fulero, S. M., & Brimacombe, C. E. (1998). Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads. *Law and Human Behavior*, 22, 603–647. doi:10.1023/a:1025750605807
- Wixted, J. T., Gronlund, S. D., & Mickes, L. (2014). Policy regarding the sequential lineup is not informed by probative value but is informed by Receiver Operating Characteristic Analysis. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 23, 17–18. doi:10.1177/0963721413510934
- Wixted, J. T., & Stretch, V. (2004). In defense of the signal detection interpretation of remember/know judgments. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 11, 616–641. doi:10.3758/bf03196616
- Wixted, J. T., Mickes, L., Clark, S. E., Gronlund, S. D., & Roediger III, H. L. (2015). Initial eyewitness confidence reliably predicts eyewitness identification accuracy. *American Psychologist*, 70, 515–526. doi:10.1037/a0039510
- Wixted, J. T., Mickes, L., Dunn, J. C., Clark, S. E., & Wells, W. (2016). Estimating the reliability of eyewitness identifications from police lineups. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 304–309. doi:10.1073/pnas.1516814112
- Wolters, G., & Odinot, G. (2010). Zijn zekere getuigen betrouwbare getuigen? [Sind sichere Zeugen zuverlässige Zeugen?]. In P. J. van Koppen, H. Merckelbach, M. Jelicic & J. W. de Keijser (Hrsg.), *Reizen met mijn rechter* (S. 529–538). Deventer: Kluwer.
- Yonelinas, A. P. (2002). The nature of recollection and familiarity: A review of 30 years of research. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 46, 441–517. doi:10.1006/jmla.2002.2864